

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/044,173	CATES ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ula C. Ruddock	1771	

All Participants:

(1) Ula C. Ruddock.

(2) Cheryl Brickey.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 November 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

Sherman et al. (US 3,574,791 and 3,728,151)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant has agreed to cancel claims 1-5, 10-13, and 24-27. Applicant has also agreed to incorporate the amendment of now cancelled claim 1 into claim 14. Applicant has also agreed to change the dependency of the remaining claims to depend on claim 14..